

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/992,936 | 11/05/2001 | Robert F. Kaiko | 200.1102CP2 | 9880 | |
| 23280 | 590 05/25/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | N, DAVIDSON & KAP | FAY, ZOHREH A | | | |
| | TH AVENUE, 14TH FLO , NY 10018 | OK | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 1618 | | |

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|---|
| 09/992,936 | KAIKO ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Zohreh A. Fay | 1618 | ' |

| | Zohreh A. Fay | 1618 | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATI | ION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA | NCE. | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (| idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin | g date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN | | | | | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>27 March 2005</u>. A brie the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl | or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4 | 11.37(e)), to avoid dis | missal of the | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | | | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rei | ected claims | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | cotca olalina. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | nt canceling the | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>32 and 35-40</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,8-10,12-27,29-31 and 41-44</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is | t be entered necessary and | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fai | s to provide a | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. | | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>of the resaons of record.</u> | | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| 13. [] Ottler | e je Programa | LOHREH FAY RIMARY EXAMINER | | | | | |
| | | GROUP 1800 | | | | | |
| | 5.1 | V | / - WC" | | | | |